

AT 12615
2700



PATENT
0879-0346P

Applicant: Daisuke ITO et al. Conf.: 6456

Appl. No.: 09/933,197 Group: 2615

Filed: August 21, 2001 Examiner: T. Harris

For: ELECTRONIC CAMERA AND REMOTE-CONTROL
OPERATION SYSTEM FOR EXTERNAL APPARATUS

RECEIVED
DEC 06 2002

Technology Center 2600

BOX AF

December 3, 2002

Transmitted herewith is a reply in the above-identified application.

- ☐ The enclosed document is being transmitted via the Certificate of Mailing provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.8.
- ☐ The enclosed document is being transmitted via facsimile.

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL	18	-	20	=	0	\$ 18	\$0.00
INDEPENDENT	9	-	9	=	0	\$ 84	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM						\$280	\$0.00
						TOTAL	\$0.00

- ☐ Petition for () month(s) extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a). \$0.00 for the extension of time.
- ☒ No fee is required.
- ☐ Check(s) in the amount of \$0.00 is(are) enclosed.
- ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$0.00. This form is submitted in triplicate.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By

Michael K. Mutter, #29,680

CTB
MKM/CTB/jdm
0879-0346P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachment(s)

(Rev. 09/30/02)

#8
J. Douglas
12/8/02



BOX AF
REPLY UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
PATENT
0879-0346P

ASC
12/10/02

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Daisuke ITO et al. Conf.: 6456
Application No.: 09/933,197 Group: 2615
Filed: August 21, 2001 Examiner: T. Harris
For: ELECTRONIC CAMERA AND REMOTE-CONTROL OPERATION
SYSTEM FOR EXTERNAL APPARATUS

REPLY AFTER FINAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

RECEIVED

DEC 06 2002

Technology Center 2600

BOX AF
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

December 3, 2002

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated September 4, 2002, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 and 9-19 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 15, and 17 are independent claims.

In the Office Action dated September 4, 2002, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-4, 6, 10, 12-14, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Watanabe et al.* (USP 5,953,481) in view of *Freeman et al.* (USP 5,579,239); and rejected claims